





DATE MAILED: 01/14/2003



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,415	09/28/2001	Bert Leo Alfons Verdonck	NL000522	4364
7	590 01/14/2003			
Corporate Pat		EXAMINER		
U.S. Philips Co 580 White Plai		CHURCH, CRAIG E		
Tarrytown, NY	10591	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
			2882	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPAR) /T OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

"U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62704

ERIAL NUMBER	AL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		NT	ATTORNEY DOCKET N	
			E	XAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				11	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

□ TI	HE PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE:					
a) [] is exte	nded to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final i	rejection		
b) [expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.						
	purpo:	ate on which the response, t ses of determining the period	ained by filing a petition under 37 CF he petition, and the fee have been fi d of extension and the corresponding te of the originally set shortened state	led is the date of the response and a amount of the fee. Any extension to	also the date for the		
□ A	ppellant	Brief is due in accordance	with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	•			
A to	pplicant's place th	response to the final rejecti e application in condition for	on, filed 12/16/02 has be allowance:	en considered with the following eff	ect, but it is not deemed		
1. 🗷	The pro	oposed amendments to the	claim and /or specification will not be	entered and the final rejection stand	ds because:		
	a. 🔀	There is no convincing show presented.	ing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the p	proposed amendment is necessary	and was not earlier		
	b. 🔀 1	They raise new issues that w	ould require further consideration an	d/or search. (See Note).			
	c. 🔲 '	They raise the issue of new	matter. (See Note).				
	d. 🔀	They are not deemed to pla appeal.	ce the application in better form for a	ppeal by materially reducing or sim	plifying the issues for		
	е. 🔲	They present additional clair	ns without cancelling a corresponding	g number of finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE:	~ n a d : n		D ~+	Λ		
	NOTE.	and com	Not a troma	eque farther	search		
		•					
2.	the no	proposed or amended clain n-allowable claims.	ns would be allow	wed if submitted in a separately filed	d amendment cancelling		
з. 🔀	Upon t be as f	he filing an appeal, the prop ollows:	osed amendment will be entered	Mail not be entered and the sta	atus of the claims will		
	Claims	allowed:					
		objected to:					
		However;		**************************************			
	☐ Ap	plicant's response has over	come the following rejection(s):	·····			
4. 🗆	The aff	idavit, exhibit or request for	reconsideration has been considered	but does not overcome the rejection	on because		
5. 🗌	The affi presen		onsidered because applicant has not				
The	propose	ed drawing correction	nas has not been approved by t	he examiner.	hous E Church		
Ott	her				Chaig E Chic		
					Proposed 2 v		

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)